# Land-Grant Press — Teaching Section Reviewer Feedback and Evaluation

## The LGP-TS series focuses on sharing of observations and reflections of scholarly work for practical application for CAFLS teaching faculty to adopt learning activities, ideas, innovations, and strategies to improve teaching effectiveness and student learning outcomes, not the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning (SoTL) research.

1. Introduction

* Clear focus in which the author states the key purpose of the article:  Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

* The content and relevant background information/framework answers why and/or how the idea, innovation or strategy was utilized by the instructor(s):  Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

1. Description of Teaching Activity

* Clearly describes the needs and/or resources utilized and/or required for how the teaching activity accompanied instruction:  Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

1. Discussion of Outcomes

* Information presented in tables and figures is logically ordered and clearly presented/described (if applicable):

Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

1. Reflection of Outcomes and Discussion of Other Published Results

* Observations and reflection of teaching activity are clearly connected to and appropriate for references cited:

Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

1. Discussion of the Potential for Adoption in Other Courses

* Content is presented clearly and completely for other instructors to adopt the instructional idea, innovation, or strategy:  Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

* Specific examples and step-by-step implementation instructions are clearly provided (if applicable):

Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

* The title appropriately describes the content presented:  Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

1. References Cited (must contain at least three peer-reviewed references)

* Appropriate in-text citations are included in the article:  Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

* References are appropriately formatted:  Acceptable  Needs Improvement

Comments:

* Recommendations for additional references:

1. Appendix (Optional for author)

* If an appendix was included, it is appropriate and complete:  Acceptable  Needs Improvement
* Comments:
* If an appendix was not included, would having one be helpful?

Comments:

1. Additional Comments:
2. Select Overall Recommendation from Below List

**Accept:** The brief is acceptable with only slight editorial modifications or changes that can be made without further review.

**Accept with Minor Revisions:** The brief is acceptable with only editorial modifications and changes recommended by reviewers. Authors should address those changes recommended by reviewers and submit documentation for how the reviewer recommendations were addressed in the resubmission.

**Major Revisions Required for Acceptance:** The brief will be reconsidered for acceptance with major modifications and edits recommended by reviewers. The resubmission should address all major concerns or questions raised by the reviewers and submit documentation for how the reviewer recommendations were addressed.

**Reject:** The brief content and focus is not appropriate for the LGP-TS series.